

How do I...

select grass varieties tolerant to pasture dieback?

The issue:	Producers face ongoing challenges to maintain healthy and productive pastures to support their livestock operations. A growing concern for the industry is damage caused by pasture mealybug. Its detrimental impacts are significant for pasture production, affecting a variety of pasture species.
The impact:	Mealybug-induced pasture dieback reduces the overall productivity and quality of grazing land. It can lead to significant economic losses.
The opportunity:	By selecting mealybug-tolerant pasture species and implementing best management practices, producers can minimise the impact of pasture dieback on their grazing operations. Best results are obtained when mixtures of legumes and tolerant grasses are planted.

This fact sheet provides research-based knowledge to help producers make informed decisions regarding the relative tolerance and susceptibility of grass pastures to pasture dieback caused by pasture mealybug (*Heliococcus summervillei*) (Figure 1, Figure 2).

The information in this fact sheet summarises current conclusions from various field trials (an example in Figure 3) and glasshouse screening trials. These ongoing trials are evaluating the performance of different pasture species alongside mealybug-induced pasture dieback in a range of growing conditions. Observations by trained pasture agronomists in commercial paddock situations have also been incorporated. As additional data is collected, further updates will be produced.

Field trials and observations in commercial paddock situations have shown that pastures comprised of monocultures are more at risk from the impact of pasture mealybug than diverse pasture mixes, including both a mix of grass species and legumes (Figure 4).

Table 1 provides the relative tolerance levels of each grass species against the pasture mealybug, ranging from tolerant to highly susceptible.



Figure 1: Pasture dieback caused by pasture mealybug on tropical grasses, Note the small size of the mealybug, and purple and yellow streaking of dieback symptoms.
Photo Caroline Hauxwell, QUT



Figure 2: Pasture dieback caused by pasture mealybug on tropical grass note purple and yellow streaking of dieback symptoms.
Photo DAF



Figure 3: Pasture dieback field trial located near Moura, central Queensland. Photo DAF

This tool can be used to help assess pastures and the risk of mealybug-induced pasture dieback. It can also help select the most appropriate grass species when sowing new pastures into dieback-affected paddocks to improve the longer-term health and productivity of the feedbase.

Observations in pastures in commercial paddock situations have shown some pastures can regenerate without re-seeding. While this has been used as a low-cost strategy by many producers, a more resilient long-term pasture is likely when new pasture is re-established with grasses of higher tolerance combined with perennial legumes.

It is important to note that when choosing grasses, other factors - such as soil type and rainfall - need to be considered. Not all species listed in Table 1 are suitable for planting as pasture grasses in every location or situation. Any species that is stressed and/or compromised due to its poor adaptation will be more susceptible to pasture mealybug.



Figure 4: Callide and Reclaimer Rhodes with legumes growing in Bisset bluegrass affected pasture. Photo Naomi Diplock, AHR

Table 1. Tolerance ranking of grass species to pasture mealybug

	Species	Common name	Cultivar(s)	Confidence rating*	Soil type adaptation	Annual rainfall requirement# (mm)
Tolerant	<i>Urochloa brizantha</i>	Brizantha	Mekong	M	Loam - clay	>800
	<i>Urochloa humidicola</i>	Humidicola	Tully	M	Loam - clay	>1000
	<i>Lolium multiflorum</i>	Italian ryegrass	Multiple (+ AR37 / AR1)	M	Loam - clay	>600\$
	<i>Lolium perenne</i>	Perennial ryegrass	Multiple (+ AR37 / AR1)	M	Loam - clay	>800\$
	<i>Megathyrsus maximus</i>	Guinea grass	Hamil	L	Sandy/loam - clay	>800
Moderately Tolerant	<i>Cenchrus ciliaris</i>	Buffel grass	Biloela	H	Sandy/loam - clay	>600
	<i>Megathyrsus maximus</i>	Panic	Gatton; G2; Megamax059	H	Sandy/loam - clay	>700
	<i>Setaria sphacelata</i>	Setaria	Multiple	L	Sand - clay	>900
	<i>Astrebula lappacae</i>	Curly Mitchell grass	Native	L	Clay	>250
Low tolerance	<i>Chloris gayana</i>	Rhodes grass	Multiple	H	Sand - clay	>750
	<i>Megathyrsus maximus</i>	Green panic	Petrie	H	Sandy/loam - clay	>700
	<i>Dichanthium aristatum</i>	Angleton grass / Floren bluegrass	Floren	M	Clay	>700
	<i>Setaria incrassata</i>	Purple pigeon	Inverell	M	Clay	>550
	<i>Urochloa decumbens</i>	Signal grass	Basilisk	L%	Loam - clay	>900
	<i>Bothriochloa pertusa</i>	Indian couch	Medway; Keppel	L	Sand - clay	>600
Moderately susceptible	<i>Panicum coloratum</i> var. <i>Makarikariense</i>	Bambatsi panic	Bambatsi	H	Loam - clay	>500
	<i>Digitaria eriantha</i>	Digit grass	Premier	M	Sand - clay	>500
	<i>Digitaria milanjana</i>	Finger grass	Strickland	M	Sand - clay	>600
	<i>Bothriochloa bladhii</i> subsp. <i>bladhii</i>	Forest blue-grass	Native	L	Sandy/loam - clay	>650
	<i>Bothriochloa bladhii</i> subsp. <i>glabra</i>	Forest blue-grass	Swann	L	Sandy/loam - clay	>650
Highly susceptible	<i>Bothriochloa insculpta</i>	Creeping bluegrass	Bisset	H	Loam - clay	>700
	<i>Cenchrus ciliaris</i>	Buffel	American / USA; Gayndah	H	Sandy/loam - clay	>300
	<i>Paspalum mandicorum</i>	Broad-leaved paspalum	NA	H	Loam - clay	>900
	<i>Cenchrus clandestinus</i>	Kikuyu	Multiple	H	Loam - clay	>800
	<i>Urochloa mosambicensis</i>	Sabi grass	Nixon	H	Sandy/loam - clay	>600
	<i>Digitaria eriantha</i>	Pangola	NA	L	Sandy/loam - clay	>750
	<i>Dichanthium sericeum</i>	Qld Bluegrass	Native	L	Clay	>500
	<i>Paspalum notatum</i>	Bahia grass	Competidor; Riba	L	Sand - loam	>800
	<i>Paspalum dilatatum</i>	Paspalum / Dallis	Common	L	Sandy/loam - clay	>750

* H=high confidence, M=moderate confidence, L=low confidence, # = for long-term persistence in grazed pastures

\$= Temperate species needing winter rainfall or irrigation, % = Low tolerance in Queensland, tolerant in northern NSW

Behind the scenes of trials and data sources: what you need to know

The tolerance ratings in the table above were developed considering different data sources including field trials, glasshouse studies, and observations from existing pastures. Due to varying observations and inclusion of specific species into research trials, as well as consistency of outcomes, a level of confidence in the tolerance of pasture mealybug has been developed for each species. The meaning of each confidence level is provided below.

1. High confidence: Existing pastures in multiple regions and districts have had consistent levels of tolerance or susceptibility to pasture mealybug. These observations are supported by field trials and glasshouse studies which have shown similar levels of tolerance or susceptibility.

- 2. Moderate confidence:** Fewer observations in existing pastures, or limited field trials and/or glasshouse studies to support field observations.
- 3. Low confidence:** Tolerance or susceptibility ratings based on a single type of data. i.e. field trials or glasshouse study results or existing pastures.

Most of the contributing evidence has been assembled from research trials and field surveys of producers' properties and experiences in central and south-east Queensland. In northern NSW, limited plot trial work has been completed, and the current recommendations are based on Queensland experience.

More information



[Pasture Dieback Management Guide](#)



[Link to Pasture Dieback Industry Network- factsheets, videos](#)



[Pasture Dieback Identification booklet](#)



Meat & Livestock Australia
Level 1, 40 Mount Street
North Sydney NSW 2060
Ph: 02 9463 9333
mla.com.au

The information contained in this publication is based on knowledge and understanding at the time of writing (May 2023) and may not be accurate, current, or complete. It is important to note that the information presented here may not cover all possible factors that can influence the selection of a suitable pasture species. Producers are advised to consider their unique climate, soil type, growing conditions, and other relevant factors (e.g., seed supply and quality, sowing equipment) when making decisions about pasture selection. It is recommended that producers consult with local agricultural extension services, agronomists, or other professionals to receive tailored advice based on their specific circumstances. Reliance on information provided in this fact sheet is entirely at your own risk. Meat and Livestock Australia, Applied Horticultural Research, Queensland University of Technology, Department of Agriculture and Fisheries Queensland and the State New South Wales will not be liable for any loss, damage, injury, claim, expense, or costs (including legal costs), arising in any way from any person's use or non-use of any information contained in this fact sheet.

